App. No. 09/683,068

REMARKS - General

By the above amendment, Applicants have amended the title to emphasize the novelty of the invention.

Also applicants have rewritten all claims to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the technical rejections and define the invention patentably over the prior art.

Conclusion

The Examiner has said that Thakker teaches a messaging system and Laporta teaches the messaging system and combining the two would be a Section 103 rejection as it would be obvious to someone skilled in the arts. The Applicant's response to this is that it is not obvios to someone skilled in the arts as it was not being done in the industry at the time of the invention. The Applicant also argues that the having a database and SMS system that can handle multiple languages and alphabet characters is unique to this invention and non-obvious.

For all of the above reasons, applicant submits that the specification and claims are now in proper form, and that the claims all define patentably over prior art. Therefore the applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully solicited.

Conditional Request For Constructive Assistance

Applicant has amended the specification and claims of this application so that they are proper, definite, and define novel structure which is also unobvious. If, for any reason, this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P § 707.03(d) and § 707.07(j) in order that the undersigned can place this application in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey M. Furr, Esq.
Registration No. 38,146

I hereby certify I have transmitted this paper by fax to the Patent and Trademark Office at 703-872-9306 on 2004, December 26.

2004, December 26.

Jeffrey M. Furr, Esq, Reg. No. 38,146.

4